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In response to needs of our stakeholders, the CBRFC is attempting to utilize contemporary climate information to inform long term streamflow forecasts

- Utilize projections of precipitation and temperature change from BCSD CMIP3 and CMIP5 data to inform historical inputs driving ESP products
- Current analysis is limited, but indicates earlier streamflow runoff and decreased seasonal (April – July) runoff

Further efforts will attempt to incorporate changes to ET, and application with a reservoir operations model
In 2007, Reclamation developed interim guidelines to operate Lakes Powell and Mead

- Operational tiers are determined by a model driven by CBRFC forecasts of unregulated streamflow
- Guidelines developed using ISM and historical streamflow, development of new guidelines must utilize climate information

CBRFC would like to provide decision support
Currently, CBRFC ensemble forecasts rely on current initial conditions and future climate (precipitation and temperature) as defined over a historical period spanning 1981-2010.

- Can also include 5-day QPF and 10-day QTF.
- Limited by sequencing and magnitude of precipitation (and temperature) events in the historical period.

Past is no longer representative of the future.
Ensemble Streamflow Prediction

Taylor - Taylor Park Res (TPIC2) Apr-Jul 2014 Runoff Forecast (Includes 5 Day Precip Forecast)
2014-06-01 Official 50% Forecast: 118 kaf (119% of average)

Max/Min
ESP 50%
ESP 30-70%
ESP 10-90%
ESP w/o Obs**
Official
Observed

The latest (2014-06-17) 50% ESP forecast (117 kaf) changed -1.7% from previous day and -2.6% from June 1
**These ESP forecasts do not include observed and are not total runoff.
How can we help?

Providing decision support for policy makers means making projections at a policy scale

• Incorporate information from the latest climate projections

• Work to develop innovative ways to develop precipitation and temperature patterns outside of the historical record
  – Currently working with colleagues at the University of Colorado on an advanced weather generator
  – Incorporation of other climatic indicators (e.g., ENSO, CPC projections)

Partnering with stakeholders to understand their needs
To “inform” our current historical input of precipitation and temperature data we utilized projected changes from BCSD CMIP3 and CMIP5 data

- BCSD CMIP data is made available by Reclamation, LLNL, and other at:
  http://gdo-dcp.ucllnl.org/downscaled_cmip_projections/dcpInterface.html

- Gridded projections of climate need to be averaged over spatial zones defined in the CBRFC’s lumped hydrologic model

Currently averaged over all model runs, but we do have the ability to filter by emissions scenarios
Need for downscaling
Gridded to Lumped Inputs
Average, relative modeled change from 1981-2010 to three future periods is derived

- 2010 – 2039, 2040 – 2069, 2070 – 2099 are each considered
- Gridded values are averaged over each defined and modeled zone in the CBRFC’s modeling framework
- Percent change in precipitation is considered
- Degrees Celsius change in temperature is considered

Historical input cards are perturbed by derived factors to develop “climate informed” inputs
Results - Temperature
Results - Precipitation

BCSD CMIP5 Ensemble Mean Precipitation Change from 1981-2010 to 2070-2099

Percent Change from Historical Period

-30 to 30

Latitude: 32 to 42
Longitude: -116 to -106

BCSD CMIP5 Ensemble Mean Precipitation Change from 1981-2010 to 2040-2069

Percent Change from Historical Period

-30 to 30

Latitude: 32 to 42
Longitude: -116 to -106
Impacts to Streamflow

Avg Seasonal CMIP5 Change from 1981-2010 to 2010-2039

Avg Seasonal CMIP5 Change from 1981-2010 to 2040-2069

Avg Seasonal CMIP5 Change from 1981-2010 to 2070-2099
Impacts to Streamflow

Avg Oct CMIP5 Change
from 1981-2010 to 2070-2099

Avg Nov CMIP5 Change
from 1981-2010 to 2070-2099

Avg Dec CMIP5 Change
from 1981-2010 to 2070-2099

Avg Jan CMIP5 Change
from 1981-2010 to 2070-2099

Avg Feb CMIP5 Change
from 1981-2010 to 2070-2099

Avg Mar CMIP5 Change
from 1981-2010 to 2070-2099
Impacts to Streamflow

Avg Apr CMIP5 Change from 1981-2010 to 2070-2099

Avg May CMIP5 Change from 1981-2010 to 2070-2099

Avg Jun CMIP5 Change from 1981-2010 to 2070-2099

Avg Jul CMIP5 Change from 1981-2010 to 2070-2099

Avg Aug CMIP5 Change from 1981-2010 to 2070-2099

Avg Sep CMIP5 Change from 1981-2010 to 2070-2099
Limitations

**Process is still dependent on historical sequences of precipitation and temperature**

**Process does not incorporate a dynamic ET component. ET is derived using a monthly coefficient that remains static throughout time**

**Possible wet bias introduced during the BCSD process?**
Next Steps

Working with colleagues at the University of Colorado to further develop a stochastic weather generator

• Capable of producing weather sequences not observed in the historical record

• Can be weighted to incorporate other climate information (e.g., teleconnections, CPC forecasts, etc…)

• Latest results show increased reliability and accuracy using “Above”, “Normal”, and “Below” probabilities for precipitation from forecasts made by Columbia University
Would like to partner with stakeholder to see impacts to reservoir operations

• Short term forecasts still highly dependent on initial conditions

• Inform long-term policy development

Build on past work done in our office to incorporate dynamic evapotranspiration
Questions?

Feel free to contact me at paul.miller@noaa.gov

More information about the CBRFC at www.cbrfc.noaa.gov